

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/685,063 10/14/2003		Wolfgang Schreiber	SBV-10221	4358		
24131 75	90 12/22/2004		EXAMINER			
LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA P O BOX 2480			BONCK, RODNEY H			
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3681			
			DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004	DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ĭ		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)		<u> </u>			
Office Action Summary		10/685,0	63 ·	SCHREIBER ET A	SCHREIBER ET AL.				
		Examine	т	Art Unit					
•		Rodney H		3681					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on th	e cover sheet with the	e correspondence ad	dress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a roperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the may add patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no evepty within the stated of will apply and w ute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be autory minimum of thirty (30) ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr dication to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely om the mailing date of this co NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>r.</i> mmunication	1.			
Status									
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	October 200	<u>13</u> .						
2a)□	<u> </u>								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from co							
Applicati	ion Papers					•			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.							
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	-	= • •	•	•	i) .			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have beents have been niority documental (PCT Rule)	en received. en received in Applic ents have been rece e 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National	Stage				
Attachmen			_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail						
3) 🛛 Infori	e of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date 10/14/03.	8)		Patent Application (PTO	-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/685,063

Art Unit: 3681

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a first action on the merits of application Serial No.10/685,063, filed October 14, 2003.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

Receipt is acknowledged of the Information Disclosure Statement filed October 14, 2003. The cited documents have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kobayashi(JP 7-259884 A). Kobayashi discloses a transmission having a driving disc 35 and a clutch basket 31 each having teeth on their outer circumference. One tooth is displaced circumferentially in a given direction and another tooth is displaced

Art Unit: 3681

circumferentially in the opposite direction in order to provide a rattle-free connection (see Fig. 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi(JP 7-259884 A). In Kobayashi the driving disc apparently has the displaced teeth, but a person having ordinary skill in this art would have found it obvious to displace the teeth of the basket instead, as this would have been recognized as equivalent. Claim 9 calls for a plurality of teeth being displaced, while Kobayashi only

Art Unit: 3681

shows a single tooth displaced for each direction. The artisan would have found it obvious to displace multiple teeth, particularly if the disc had a large number of teeth, the motivation being to distribute stresses to more teeth to reduce the risk of tooth failure.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi (JP 7-259884 A) in view of Litle ('732). Kobayashi provides a rattle-free connection by displacing teeth. Litle provides an axially oriented slot to provide a wider tooth, thus providing a same effect. It would have been obvious to use this alternative technique to provide a rattle-free connection in Kobayashi since the artisan would have recognized the equivalence of the two techniques.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi(JP 7-259884 A) in view of Litle('732) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ordo('294). Neither Kobayashi not Litle appear to provide an introduction slope on the disc or the basket to facilitate assembly. Ordo discloses a driving disc 144 and clutch basket 56 provided with an introduction slope to facilitate assembly of the clutch. It would have been obvious to carry this teaching to the Kobayashi device, the motivation being to facilitate assembly. It would have been of no patentable significance which of the disc or the basket is provided with the slope.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Stegman et al.('928), Gazda('504), Yamada(JP 2-62410 A), and Yang(GB 2 275 318 A) show other arrangements to provide a rattle-free connection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney H. Bonck whose telephone number is (703)-308-2904. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM - 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on (703)-308-0830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3681

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney H. Bonck Primary Examiner Art Unit 3681

rhb

December 20, 2004